## Flat Earth News

International Flat Earth Research Society \$10.00 yearly to Associate Members only.

Box 2533, Lancaster, California 93539-2533 Phone: (805) 946-1595

Quarterly

**RESTORING THE WORLD TO SANITY** 

Charles K. Johnson, President

Marjory Waugh Johnson, Secretary

Issue No. 39

SEPTEMBER, 1981

# U.S. Navy Sails On Flat Ocean

## He Found Room at the Top



Member, Flat Earth Research Society. Recently received Thompson Navigation Award given by U.S. Navy Dept., Washington, D.C.. He was declared most proficient navigator in his graduation class.

Ensign John Doe, an officer of U.S.Navy, a recent graduate of United States Naval Academy at Annapolis.

Ensign John Doe (his zetetic name), coming through with such flying colors, points out to ALL that by being sane and it being known, will NOT hold you back though bit of razing for a while, it will rather propell you forward. THERE IS ROOM AT THE TOP! The purpose of FEN and FES is to push people that is, REAL PEOPLE, over the brink, into REAL SANITY, and so to become part of the "BIC BROTHERS" the "KEEPERS" on the WORLD ANIMAL FARM. Plenty, vast surplus of beasts, especially the two-footed ones, but the Elite, the

Elect are few. Every member who becomes fully, sane and thereby "human", does know God exists and Earth is Flat, is the foundation of Sanity.

These men can and will be used to RUN THIS WORLD. In this age we are all equal but we Flat Earthers, the Big Brothers, are "more equal." So Ensign John Doe was and is much more "equal than his classmates."

Marjory and I, speaking for all far flung members, give our congratulations to you this day. By the power vested in me by the Eternal God (heaven above and earth below) ...the God of Truth, I say, "may you be protected and blessed in all the days of your life."

### **Navigation**

It must be obvious to anyone that, if the earth be the globe of popular belief, the rules observed for navigating a vessei from one part of this globe to another, must be in conformity to its figure. The datum line in navigation would be an arc of of a circle, and all computations would be based on the convexity of water and worked out by spherical trigonometry.

Let me preface my remarks on this important branch of our subject by stating that at sea the datum line is always a horizontal line; spherical trigonometry is never used, and not one out of one thousand shipmasters un derstands spherical trigonometry.

In "Modern Science and Modern Thought," we are informed that:

"These calculations......are as certain as those of the nautical almanac, based on the law of gravity WHICH ENABLE SHIPS TO FIND THEIR WAY ACROSS THE PATHLESS OCEAN."

I have used the Nautical Almanac somewhat, but this is the first intimation I ever had that the few things it contains which are useful to the navigator, viz: Sun's Declination. Equation of Time, Semidia-meter and such-like, are "based on meter, and such-like, are "based on the law of gravity." Nor did I ever suspect that the calculations of the nautical almanac "enable ships to find their way across the pathless ocean." Such utter mis-statements may suit the unthinking man to bolster u his theory, but they declare to the complete ignorance of the critic regarding practical navigation. A knowledge of the facts compels me to jettison the cargo to lighten the ship of such absurd misrepresentations. Sun's declination is the sun's distance north or south of the equator. Semi-

diameter of a heavenly body is half

the diameter which has to be added

to the reading if the lower limb be taken, and substracted if upper limb be observed, so as to get the altitude of the centre of the object. Equation of time is the difference between the rea sun and the sun which the astronomer supposes to rise and set every day alike, called the mean sun. Except in taking lunars, these are all the eements required from the nautical almanac to work out an observation. In lunars the moon's parallax and right ascension are used and are given in the nautical almanac. The first of these depends on the moon's position and the second is reckoned from the first point of Aries, one of the zodiacal signs and a point in the heavens. None of these elements have anything whatever to do with the shape of the earth, and certainly none are in any way connected with the bogus "law of gravity." To a practical man, this scientist's statement is both untrue and absurd.

Now let us go into the matter and see what actually is the case, and how and on what principle "ships ind their way across the pathless ocean."

I shall first deal with -

#### PLANE SAILING.

In "A Primer of Navigation," we

find the following:
"Plane Sailing — When a ship sails for a short distance on one course, the earth is regarded as a plane or level surface......The results obtained by this assumption, although not absolutely correct, are near enough in practice.x."

This does not look as if the "law of gravity" had a hand in the matter; neither, it must be confessed, does it appear that the Nautical Almanac has any connection with the subject. So

Continued on page 2

## A Ship's Disappearance at Sea

A ship's disappearance at sea is generally brought forward to prop up the unsound arguments of the globular theory, whenever this theory is challenged. But a careful inquiry does not permit this prop to stand long.

As the appearance, or the disappearance, of a ship at sea involves the operation of perspective, this question is worthy of our careful consideration. By studying the laws of perspective we are enabled to give a correct and logical explanation of phenomena. It further enables us to expose the fallacy of the popular assumption that, "as the hull of a vessel disappears before the masts, the hull must have gone over the curve, and disappeared the other side of a hill of water."

Apart from the evidence we have already adduced against the globular theory, this assumption is of no value — so far as it is intended to support the theory of rotundity — unless it can be shown that the disappearance of a ship at sea cannot be accounted for in any other way. But a proper appliction of the laws which govern our vision can, and does, logically explain this phenomenon.

Writing upon this subject in Science Siftings (Vol. VI), the late Professor Huxley said: "We assume the convexity of water, because we have no other way to explain the appearance and disappearance of ships at sea."

#### PERSPECTIVE

I wonder whether Professor H. took into account the laws of perspective? He presumed upon his readers, ignorance if, when he wrote, he thought that they would all accept his assumption. To assume the sphericity of the earth because we cannot hear a man speaking five miles away, would be as consistent as making the same assumpt-because, at times, we are unable to see for more than twenty miles. But, you reply, our sense of hearing is limited! Is not our sense of vision also limited? Of course it is; and the laws of perspective clearly explain this limitations.

Perspective requires that all lines equi-distant above or below the line of sight shall vanish in the line of sight at the same point; but lines at greater distances above or below the eye-line at an angle of I minute of a degree, which constitutes the vanishing point. No object below the eye-line, while continuing at the same altitude, ever rises above the line of sight as it recedes, and no object bove the eye-line ever descends below the line of sight as it recedes; simply because when such object reaches the line of sight, the angle it forms with the eye is the minimum angle, or I min. of a degree, at which objects are still visible, but after which they perspectively vanish.

The following diagram (Fig. 1) will make clear these principles. C. H. and K. L. are two parallel lines, not equally distant from the eye line, I, J. All objects above the height of the eye, and remaining at the same altitude, seem to descend as they recede from the observer; and all horizontal lines below the eye-line as they recede appear to ascend. All parallel lines as they recede converge to a vanishing point, which would be the same for all lines if



were equi-distant from the line of sight. But as K. L. is nearer the eye-line then C, H, it will of necessity converge into the eye-line before C, H, which is farther away from it, and which does not make an angle of I min. of a degree with the eye as soon as K. L. makes that angle. If we place another line M, N, the same distance above the line of sight I. J, as K, L. is below it, such a line would necessarily vanish at the same point O, as the line K. L.


It should be remembered that all parallel lines do not vanish at the same point, unless such lines are equally distant from the line of sight. A good photograph of any large building, or of a row of houses, would afford an excellent opportunity of demonstrating these principle of true perspective.

We have daily opportunities of testing these rules, if we would but take them. A row of lamps, or a row of trees, all of the same height along a straight and level road, appear to become smaller and smaller, until they vanish at some distant point on the line of sight. The lower and thicker parts of trees or lamps, being generally nearer the eye-line than the tops of these objects, and having a dark background of earth, disappear before the upper parts which are farther from the line of sight, and which have the sky for background.

Having obtained a fair idea of the known laws of perspective, we will now apply them to a vessel at sea, receding from a spectator. As the vessel sails farther and

farther away, the angle which the hull makes with the eye-line gradually becomes less, until when it reaches that distance where the sea appears to rise to the line of sight, the hull of the vessel being below the eye-line, and the angle which it then makes with the eye-line being less than I min, of a degree, the hull of necessity becomes invisible. At the same time the masts of the vessel will appear to be shorter than they really are, but they will not disappear on the horizon until some time after the hull has disappeared: (1) because of their extra height above the line of sight, and (2) because of the clear sky which they have for background. At times, the hull of a vessel below the eye-line may disapper before reaching the horizon, on account of the haziness of the atmosphere just above the water, but the hull never rises above the eye-line to disappear beyond. This, together with the fact that the hull generally is of a dark colous and has a dark background of water behind it, causes it to lose its individuality, and makes it appear to have mingled with the water, thus prematurely vanishing.

If you apply to the following diagram (Fig. 2) the particulars given concerning Fig. 1, you will clearly perceive that the hull of a vessel should generally disappear on the line of sight before the masts and sails are lost to



The same principles may be observed when a vessel is approaching the spectator, the masts being seen first because of their extra height above the line of sight and being up against a clear background of sky.

From these facts we see that the astronomical assumption of convexity, based upon the phenomena of the appearance and disappearance of ships at sea, is unfounded and misleading; because we have proved that such appearances and disappearances simply conform to the known laws of perspective.

If we carry this argument further, we shall see that it resites the idea of globularity, and that it is a strong proof the earth is a plane. When a vessel has been watched until out of sight to the naked eye, a good telescope will often restore to view what has disappeared; and it will also restore to sight the hull of the vessel, if the sea be calm and the atmosphere clear. How then could such a hull have gone over and beyond a great hill of water? IMPOSSIBLE!

Can you see this so called phenomenon...the ORIGIN-AL and to this very hour only 'argument' for a ball of water earth...is so SIMPLE, so EASY to understand and even to EXPLAIN..."if' a person first has a right heart that is if he WANTS to know the facts, also known as the TRUTH and has a logical and rational mind.

All the basic, foundation 'theories' or 'theologies' or to speak in plain English, the DOGMAS of the Science Religion, full name Christian-Science (is a product or invention of Christian schools) are ILLOGICAL, IRRATION-AL, WIERD, OCCULT SUPERSTITIONS!

It was Professor Huxley, not Darwin, who 'grafted' the theology of evolution onto the Idiot Crease Ball World Hoax. His published statement a few sentences back, proves this 'great scientist' to be DEVOID OF REASON, LOGIC, OR COMMONSENSE! Or to put it the succinct words of Mr. Paul Tarsus, of so long ago... "it is obvious that he is Void of Understanding, and his feebe degenerate brain is reprobate."

More and more, I, myself have come to believe that for a grown man of over 35 years old to BELIEVE AND HAVE FAITH in such rubbish, puts the man in the state of, if he's the stupid of 'herd-like' — perhaps he deserves or NEEDS to be BOUND with these superstitlons, because he is CRAZY.

P.S.: I note the "reverend" and/or "Doctor" Fallwell,

P.S.: I note the "reverend" and/or "Doctor" Fallwell, the great god of the Southern Bpatist Religion, has the last few months taken up trying to "refute" the FLAT EARTH SOCIETY. Oh. yeah, he now confesses he is atheist to the bible and Jesus Christ.

As I have told members for nine years at least, the so cailed 'christians' lie like dogs, the "SON" they claim to "worship" is SPELLED SUN!" Fallwell and the rest of the old time IS Egyptian-Babel-Pagan-Jibbersish and in fact are SUN WORSHIPPERS. The SUN is the "center of all", etc., all life from the SUN, etc. Jesus Christ said Fallwell and Billy Craham, Church of England, Church of Germany, were vipers and hypocrits and have descendants that went down in brain power from the APES. Little wonder Fallwell cusses 'evolution' as he is going in the WRONG DIRECTION in evolution!

### Navigation

#### Continued from page 1

while the reader is reflecting on what "figure" a globe with a plane or level surface would "cut." we may let go the anchor for a brief space, so that A CLOBE WITH A PLANE OR LEVEL SURFACE nay be duly appreciated. If the reader cannot now find time to search Euclid and other works for the nondescript figure, he may find leisure some other time. But let us get the anchor aboard and proceed.

In "Navigation and Nautical Astronomy," the author declares that:

"PLANE SAILING is usually defined to be the art of navigating a ship on the supposition that the earth is a plane. This definition is erroneous in the extreme, in all sailings the earth is regarded as what it really is. a sphere. Every case of sailing, from which the consideration of longitude is excluded, involves the principles of plane sailing: a name which merely implies that although the path of a ship is on a spherical surface, yet we may represent the length of this path by a straight line on a plane surface. .....Even when longitude enters into consideration, it is still with the plane triangle only that we have to deal... but as the investigation here given in the text shows, the rules for plane sailing WOULD EQUALLY HOLD GOOD THOUGH THE SURFACE WERE A PLANE.

It must be evident to everyone who understands what a triangle is, that the base of any such figure on a globe would be an arc of a circle, of which the centre would be the centre of the globe. Thus, instead of a PLANE triangle, the figure would contain one plane angle and two spherical angles. Hence, if the PLANE TRIANCLE is what we have to deal with, and such is the case, the base of the triangle would be a straight line - the ocean. That all triangulation used at sea is plane, proves that the SEA IS A PLANE. The foregoing quotation states that a plane triangle is used for a spherical surface, but "the rules for plane sailing would equally hold good though the surface were a plane." What fine reasoning. It is like saying that the rules for describing a circle are those used for drawing a square, but they would equally hold good though the figure were a square.

From Mr. Young, the mathematician, we ascend to Professor Evers, surely he will be able to enlighten us. In his "Navigation in Theory and Practice," he tells us that:

"PLANE SAILING is sailing a ship, or making the arithmetical calculations for so doing, on the assumption that THE EARTH IS PERFECT-LY FLAT ... It is not a strictly correct supposition to take any part whatever of the earth's surface as a plane; yet when the vessel goes on short voyages. the results obtained by plane sailing will be sufficiently correct to serve every useful purpose... plane sailing cannot always be, theoretically advantageously employed, ALTHOUGH IN PRACTICE SCARELY ANY OTHER RULES ARE USED BUT THOSE DERIVED FROM PLANE SAILING ... The great and serious objection to Plane Sailing is, that longitude cannot be found by it ACCUR-ATELY, ALTHOUGH IN PRACTICE IT IS MORE FREQUENTLY FOUND BY IT THAN BY ANY OTHER ME-

This, I notice, extends the principle from "a short distance" by Flagg, to "short VOYAGES" by

Continued on page 3

### A Psalm of Life

Henry Wadsworth Longellow (Born Feb. 27,1807, died Mar. 24,1882)

Tell me not, in mournful numbers, Life is but an empty dream! — For the soul is dead that slumbers And things are not what they seem.

Life is real! Life is earnest!

And the grave is not its goal;

Dust thou art, to dust returneth,

Was not spoken of the soul.

Not enjoyment, and not sorrow, Is our destined end or way; But to act, that each tomorrow Find us farther than today.

Art is long, and Time is fleeting.

And our hearts, though stout and brave,

Still, like muffled drums, are beating Funeral marches to the grave. In the world's broad field of battle, In the bivouac of life Be not like dumb, driven cattle! Be a hero in the strife!

T ust no Future, howe'er pleasant! Let the dead Past bury its dead! Act, — act in the living Present! Heart within, and God o'er head!

Lives of great men all remind us We can make our lives sublime, And, departing, leave behind us Footprints on the sands of time.

Footprints, that perhaps another, Sailing o'er life's solemn main, Might see, and somehow...Cain.

#### NAVIGATION Continued from page 2

Evers. A voyage, then, may be completed by plane sailing. That is, the rules used in navigating the ship on a short voyage will be those that would "hold good though the surface were a Flat surface all the way, that plane. is it. But we are again confronted by 'a globe with a plane or level surclearly an impossibility. Now let us inquire how long the short voyage may be, to have "a plane surface all the way." In December, 1997, I met Captain Slocum on board the "Spray." This navigator told me that he had sailed his little craft 33,000 miles by plane sailing. Rather a LONG voyage, it must be admitted. A PLANE or LEVEL SURFACE for 33,000 miles, and yet the world a globe? To the pre-historic "man of science" at the North Pole, and the at the North Pole, and the Darwinian Ape at the South Pole (?) of the astronomers imaginary globe, with such a delusion.

Let it be put on lasting record that "In practice scarely any other rules are used but those derived from plane sating;" and that although "the great and serious objection to plane sailing is that longitude theoretically cannot be found by it accurately," yet "IN PRACTICE IT IS MORE FREQUENTLY FOUND BY IT THAN BY ANY OTHER METHOD."

The only logical conclusion we can arrive at from the principles of Plane Sailing, as furnished by its mathematical exponents, is that IT PROVES THE WORLD A PLANE, and we know from actual practice that such is really the case.

But before saying adieu to this navigation proof, we must quote still further.

"Bergen's Navigation and Nautical Astronomy," states:

"If the course and distance which a ship has sailed on the globe be given, the difference of latitude and departure may be found by the resolution of a right-angled plane triangle."

Goethe said those who believed the spinning ball suffered from 'delusions of lunatics.' This is especially TRUE if one claims to be a navigator.

#### Marjory's Corner...

## "Keep the Commandments"

If one would consider the Law and the Prophets in the Bible, one would be a lot closer to the truth. as that is what the Bible is 'about. It tells the Israelites to keep the Law and consider the Prophets. If they did so, it is said they would be a 'peculiar' people, a special people and would be LOVED by all. They were condemned if they failed to keep this Great Law, the Ten Commandments. JESUS CHRIST said, "if you want to enter into LIFE...
KEEP THE COMMANDMENTS." He then explained what He meant by the "Ten." Sin "is" the transgression of the Law. Book of Revela-tion tells us the "elite or elect" will be keeping the commandments of Cod and the testimony of Jesus Christ. Jesus taught to keep the LAW in the spirit and intent of the commandments. Past Israelites, and also Jews, had complained the 'Law' - just keeping the letter, was a burden. Now JC said, keep the letter and the Spirit ALSO! Little wonder why the whole world is in havocand in trouble as it is full of 'christians' and christian churches and missionaries' are teaching and telling people that all LAWS are done away with. Just do anything you want to do, if it feels good all it is okay with God, as He is just in business to love everybody especially the evil doers!' How can they be without sin, if they don't know the LAW? As the christians love to preach PAUL, He says, ONLY through knowing the LAW can you know what sin IS. That "moral, majority fellow" preaches some principles like he says, 'common decency' - what it that? Who can know? Unless he knows the laws as taught by Jesus, they say, all you need to do is 'call on the name of Jesus.' Strange they would say that, as JESUS Himself



Marjory Waugh Johnson

said, will do people no good at all. At the day of JUDGEMENT, to say JESUS, JESUS, JESUS, He will say, "I know you NOT, depart from me, you who DO EVIL WORKS. I also read in the Bible that Jesus said, "you can blapheme my name, little harm is done, but to reject the holy spirit, it will reject you!" JC said, "if you BELIEVE on me, you will keep my WORD." That do not sound like just "calling his name." Better, he said, than to be-lieve FABLES AND TRADITIONS of men. I wonder why the so called 'fundamentalists' say they 'believe every word of the bible' then they teach the FABLES, THE TALL TALES, THE LIES, The traditions of men, as Jesus Christ said, the people of His day did. Everyone better be sure that they are not TEACH-ING THE DOCTRINES OF THE WRONG GOD! THE DEVIL, WHO REPRESENTS THE GOD OF DE-

STRUCTION. M. J. NOTE: Had to leave out PER-SONAL FROM EDITOR and LET-TERS cause of space shortage. All going great with the work. Check TV Guide for near September for new show called THAT AWFUL OUIZ SHOW with Rice Brothers, the Stars. Be sure and see it. I am on one segment, got few licks in for the cause. Let us know when you see it. Very nice people who produces it. RICE BROTHERS are GREAT - you'll love 'em. C.K.J. There was a mistake in June issue. We have none and want no Jap members. Also, have no German members. We do have members in

Scandinavia.

## QUICK ANSWERS ABOUT

## **VIVISECTION**

WHAT is Vivisection? Vivisection is cutting, burning, freezing, poisoning, crushing, starving, internally or externally mutilating, shocking or subjecting to every conceivable kind of stress any defenseless dumb animal that can be handled in a laboratory. Dogs and cats are among its victims.

WHO practices Vivisection? SCIENTISTS who direct salaried experimenters in medical institutions, pharmaceutical laboratories, research and testing facilities of the Federal Government, automobile, cosmetics, chemical agricultural, food and other industries, and various institutions of learning wherever there are "scientists," some of them are busy torturing helpless defenseless "animals" who are REALLY just four-footed people!

HOW long has it been practiced? Vivisection began centuries ago, yet to date has failed to wip out one single disease affecting humans.

WHERE is Vivisection found? On every continent, even in Antarctica, where thermodes have been inserted in the brains of penguins in temperature research studies.

WHY does Vivisection continue to exist? Beacuse it is supported by vast amounts of public and private funds; public funds consisting of Governmental grants to various institutions and individuals and appropriations to many of the Departments within the Government itself. Private funds are furnished by organizations such as the Ford, Rockefeller and other Foundations and the various indus-



William A. Cave, President
The American Anti-Vivisection Society

tries previously mentioned. National Science Foundation in D.C., who refused one single dollar for FLAT EARTH RESEARCH, give billions to the Science of Torture and its "priests."

WE OPPOSE VIVISECTION BE-CAUSE IT IS MORALLY WRONG, CRUEL AND FRUITLESS!

It abolition can be accomplished only by the concerted efforts of an aroused and informed public, which will insist on the development and use of methods which already exist and which do not involve the use of animals.

Members: I recommend that you write THE AMERICAN ANTI-VIVISECTION SOCIETY, Suite #204, Noble Plaza, 80I Old York Road, Jenkintown, PA 19046. The AV Mag. is very good and membership is \$5.00 a year, and/or ask for free literature like: CRUEL, BRUTAL, FUTILE, and PEOPLE, and PRINCIPLES MAKE AV HISTORY. This article is a modified (by me) from a folder from A-V Society.



## The way they were, the way they are, ...the way they will be ... as time goes by...

If the "facts" say otherwise than what the person or scientist or Party want, then the "facts" must be altered. Thus history is continuously rewritten. This day-to-day falsification of the past, carried out by the Ministry of Truth, is as necessary to the stability of the regime as the work of repression and espionage carried out by the Ministry of Love.

The mutability of the past is the central tenet of Ingsoc. (Insoc: 'the English System', 'the English establishment' today, 1981; it means just about anywhere on earth the "estab-lishment," or "English Socialism.") Past events, it is argued, have no objective existence, but survive only in written records and in human memories. The past is whatever the records and the memories agree upon. And since the Party is in full control of all records, and in equally full control of the minds of its members, it follows that the past is whatever the Party chooses to make it. It also follows that though the past is alterable. it never has been altered in any specific instance. For when it has been recreated in whatever shape is needed at the moment, then this new version is the past, and no different past can ever have existed. This holds good even when, as often happens, the same event has to be altered out of recognition several times in the course of a year. At all times the Party is in possession of absolute truth, and clearly the absolute can never have been different from what it is now. It will be seen that the control of the past depends above all on the training of memory. To make sure that all written records agree with the orthodoxy of the moment is merely a mechanical act. But it is also necessary to remember that events happened in the desired manner. And if it is necessary to rearrange one's memories or to tamper with written records, then it is necessary to forget that one has done so. The trick of doing this can be learned like any other mental technique. It is learned by the majority of Party members, and certainly by all who are intelligent as well as orthodox. In Oldspeak it is called doublethink, although doublethink comprises much else as well.

Doublethink means the power of holding two contradictory beliefs in one's mind simultaneously, and ac/cepting both of them. The Party intellectual knows in which direction his memories must be altered; he therefore knows that he is playing tricks with reality; but by the exercise of doublethink he also satisfied himself that reality is not violated. The process has to be conscious, or it

would not be carried out with sufficient precision, but it also has to be unconscious, or it would bring with it a feeling of falsity and hence of guilt. Doublethink lies at the very heart of Ingsoc, since the essential act of the Party is to use conscious deception while retaining the firmness of purpose that goes with complete honesty. To tell deliberate lies while genuinely believing in them, to forget any fact that has become inconvenient, and then, when it becomes necessary again, to draw it back from oblivion for just so long as it is needed to deny the existence of objective reality and all the while to take account of the reality which one denies - all this is indispensably necessary. Even in using the word doublethink it is necessary to exercise doublethink. For by using the word one admits that one is tampering with reality; by a fresh act of doublethink one erases this knowledge; and so one indefinitely, with the lie always one leap ahead of the truth. Ultimately, it is by means of doublethink that the Party has been able - and may, for all we know, continue to be able for thousands of years - to arrest the course of history. and to create a space hoax world that don't even exist!

Continued in Dec. 4, 1981 quarter

Ninety-nine percent of ALL Preachers-Teachers-Scientists Leaders of any kind, practice doublethink. Nearly ALL people practice this same doublethink. Nearly ALL people from THEIR MOTHERS' KNEE are taught to lie, to deceive, to pretend. There is no "official" doublethink calls — for DOUBLETHINK IS OUR WAY OF LIFE!

For instance, very few people really believe anything at all about the Space Hoax, but the training in 'pretense and doublethink' prevents most from 'confessing.' Why do peo-ple live with a lie? - "for fear of Party" or "public opinion." In most cases it is the same thing. Little wonder that the Satanic Christian Religion teaches the Ten Commandments are "done away with." The Nazi Church (Germany-Sweden-Norway) teaches the "ten" are of the devil! The basic foundation for this AGE OF THE TOTAL LIE is the 'doing away with this ONE, OF THE TEN
COMMANDMENTS: "THOU SHALL
NOT BEAR FALSE WITNESS."
In other words, "THOU SHALL NOT Next, the claim that earth is a ball, is a first lie. In the "end" of the matter, perhaps some decent sane JEWS - will comeforth and save the world from this AGE OF LIES!

#### PEOPLE'S ALMANAC

by David Wallechnisky and Irving Wallace

I have PEOPLE'S ALMANAC No. 1, one of the most interesting and educational books I have run across. The information, you could not get anywhere else, is there. Now, in September 1981 PEOPLE'S ALMANAC NO. 3 is coming out, bigger and better. I recommend it highly! Irving Wallace is one of U.S.A.'s most popular and outstanding writers. Members, if you get a chance, check this Irving's book written years ago.

"Round Pegs in Square Holes" on the great 'Flat Earther Wilber Glen Voliva of Zion. We are, you could say, "Flat Earth Zionests." Fly page of PA #1 says, "the exact contrary to what is generally believed is often the Truth." Jean La Bruyere, 1675. You see, the truth never changes, the herd is almost always wrong. We are listed in PEOPLE's ALMANAC, so if possible, buy the book!

#### the Editor...



Johnson on the Shore

# Columbus, the Jew, Proved Earth Flat

Almost everything that has happened in the past and is happening is twisted, distorted and reversed half-nelsoned and reversed again till all real events and knowledge and the truth of all things is lost. In the claim was made in Crease earth a ball, our Society's research shows this Babel idea was brought to Creek Isles from India so was an old superstition even then. In 1492, Columbus (Many say he was Israelite, if he was a Real Israelite Hebrew, he would know that earth is flat) determined to prove to the world that earth was NOT a ball. Nearly every one was very superstitious then, though earth a globe that if you sailed out too far, would go down hill and fall off, which of course you would IF world

is globe. So his voyage was for the Express Purpose of PROVINC EARTH IS FLAT! He did it, proved there was no curvature on water, no danger of falling off as earth was FLAT. His great contribution to the world was that he threw old "theories" of a ballworld out and set out to DEMONSTRATE. 'Zeteticly' that the world was Flat ... as per the Hebrew scriptures, and COMMONSENSE would tell any reasonable logical Human Being. So we keep Columbus Day as he was one of us, a 'Zetetic' FLAT EARTHER! The 'Marks' of the beast all over keep Columbus day never dreaming what the REAL MEAN-ING OF THE DAY IS! As usual the herd is in REVERSE.

## Re-Opening of the Galileo Case

Since last year, Pope John Paul announcement that he plans a rehearing of the Calileo Affair, news writers and others have come unglued. AP has written much on this matter. They usually, in long drawn out articles, leave people with the idea that Pope John Paul is going 'all-out' for the spinning ball science, is going to 'fess up' for the people. Interesting but we have a 'friendwriter for AP out here (LA) who writes up up every now and then, a real nice fellow. Seems AP is really keeping up with the FLAT-ROUND controversy.

Anyway, reading AP and other bulletins you will find that the Pope is not saying that he wants to 'fess up', but ONLY says that to 're-open the case.' Seems to me the RCC is today almost the most powerful force on earth - anyway, most powerful in my young life. Hardly, likely for this Pope to go wrong. Keep this in mind that the EARTH IS FLAT. It has ALWAYS BEEN FLAT, IT WILL ALWAYS BE FLAT. How could the RCC expect to rule the world on a lie? That contradicts logic, reason. the PLAIN EVIDENCE and the fact that Moses and Jesus both taught that EARTH IS FLAT.

C. L. J.

FREEDOM OF CONSCIENCE AND THOUGHT...

(In teachings of Vatican II)
"Man has a sacred right to have

his religious beliefs respected. He must not be coerced by any force or external power to embrace or practice a certain religion, or be impeded from practicing his religion.

"The center of the universe is man. HIs greatest dignity is that he can make use of his intelligence in order to know visible and invisible things and of his conscience to know God's law written in his heart. Man's dignity demands that he be able to act according to his personal beliefs and not under external pressure or coercion."

From Summary of Vatican II, St. Joseph - Sunday Missal, pp 413,416.

This, I feel, is the reason for the Pope's decision to re-open the trial of Galileo: without reference to the truth or falsity of his theories, but to demonstrate to all that the Church today stands for complete freedom of thought and conscience. Calileo could not be condemned or punished in the light of Vatican II.

.007, Investigative Reporter for FLAT EARTH NEWS, Member, California.